CASE REPORT





Prenatal detection and molecular cytogenetic characterization of Xp deletion and Xq duplication: a case report and literature review

Qing Lin^{1*}, Chunya Liang¹, Bole Du², Lijiao Li¹, Hong Li², Xiaolan Mai¹, Sheng Li², Wenyu Xu¹, Cunzhen Wu¹ and Mi Zeng²

Abstract

Background Copy number variation (CNV) of X chromosome can lead to a variety of neonatal abnormalities, especially for male fetuses. In recent years, due to the high sensitivity and high specificity of NIPS, its application has gradually expanded from chromosome aneuploidy to CNV. Few prenatal cases involving the detection of Xg duplication and deletion by NIPS have been reported, but it is of great significance for genetic counseling.

Case presentation A 36-year-old woman was referred for prenatal diagnosis and genetic counseling at 17 weeks of gestation because of abnormal result of noninvasive prenatal screening (NIPS). Multiple congenital malformations, hydrocephalus, and enlarged gallbladder were observed by prenatal ultrasound. Amniocentesis revealed the karyotype of the fetus as 46, XN, add(X) (p22.2) and the result of chromosomal microarray analysis was arr[hg19] Xq27.1q28(138,506,454–154896094) × 2 and arr[hq19] Xp22.33p22.32(168,551–5,616,964) × 1. CNV-seq showed that the mother shares a 16.42 Mb duplication in the Xg27.1-g28 region and a 2.97 Mb deletion in the Xp22.33-p22.32 region. After genetic counseling, the couple chose to terminate the pregnancy.

Conclusion The combination of NIPS and CMA would be of values in detection of subchromosomal duplications and/or deletions at fetal stage. The detection of X chromosome aberration in a male fetus should give suspicion of the possibility of maternal inheritance.

Keywords Noninvasive prenatal screening, Prenatal diagnosis, Chromosome microarray analysis, Xp22.33p22.32 deletion, Xq27.1q28 duplication

*Correspondence: Oina Lin 1293461527@qq.com ¹ Center of Prenatal Diagnosis, Zhanjiang Maternity and Child Health Care Hospital, Zhanjiang, China ² Guangzhou Jingke Biotechnology Co., Ltd, Guangzhou, P. R. China

Introduction

to a variety of neonatal abnormalities, such as mental retardation and developmental delay. Although CNV is one of the important causes of birth defects, it is not easily detected by ultrasound in early pregnancy. With the application of Chromosomal microarray analysis (CMA) technology, genome-wide detection of CNVs becomes possible, which can identify CNVs with high resolution [1]. Furthermore, it has been evidenced that noninvasive

Chromosomal copy number variation (CNV) can lead



© The Author(s) 2024. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

prenatal screening (NIPS) can detect fetal chromosomal abnormalities including CNV from cell-free fetal DNA (cffDNA) in maternal peripheral blood as early as 13 weeks gestation period [2].

It has been recognized that patients with a total or partial deletion of the short arm of the X chromosome usually have the characterization of short stature and may carry variable features of Turner syndrome (TS) [3]. Deletion of the *SHXO* gene located in Xp22.32 region was known to be associated with short stature as well as some additional stigmata of TS, and its insufficient haploid dosage would lead to Leri-Weill dyschondrosteosis [4].

The frequency of Xq chromosome duplications is rare, and majority of Xq duplications observed in males are inherited from phenotypically normal or near-normal mothers [5]. Duplications of Xqter containing the *MECP2* gene are frequently detected. The duplication of Xq26-q28 chromosome region yields recognizable phenotypes, including distinctive facial features, major axial hypotonia, severe developmental delays, severe feeding difficulties, abnormal genitalia, and susceptibility to infection [6–9]. The critical dosage-sensitive *MECP2* gene, located at Xq28, is the main gene responsible for these severe phenotypes.

In this case report, we identify a male fetus with suspected maternal inheritance of Xp22.33p22.3 deletion and Xq27.1q28 duplication by the prenatal analysis. Moreover, we compared the similarities between prenatal ultrasound findings from the fetus and the clinical features described in the literature in carriers with similar Xp22.33p22.3 deletion and Xp27.1q28 duplication.

Case report

A 36-year-old, gravida 7, para 2, woman was referred to the prenatal diagnosis center of our hospital because her NIPS result indicated the presence of abnormalities in X chromosome, namely del (Xp22.33-p22.32, 2.28 M) and dup (Xp27.1-q28, 9.07 M). The NIPS was performed at the 17^{+3} weeks of gestation, and samples were sequenced on the NextSeq CN500 platform (Berry Genomics, Beijing, China). Her body height is 150 cm and body weight is 48.6 kg. She had experienced five miscarriages, including one induced abortion and four spontaneous abortions. Briefly, in March 2007, the male embryo stopped developing; in April 2009, she took drugs to induce labor during pregnancy; in 2013, the embryo was aborted at 50 days of gestation; in April 2014, a male fetus was detected with lobar holoprosencephaly; In 2015, the embryo was aborted at 60 days of gestation. The couple are non-consanguineous and have no history of exposure to toxic and harmful substances. Routine ultrasound examination showed multiple congenital malformations,

hydrocephalus and gallbladder enlargement. Routine chromosome analysis by G-banding techniques at 320 bands of resolution was performed and the fetus karyotype was described as 46, XN, add(X) (p22.2), showing chromosome fragments of unknown origin were attached to Xp22.2 (Fig. 1). No abnormality was revealed in the result of QF-PCR. CMA was performed on amniotic fluid cells according to the manufacturer's protocol by CytoScan 750 K array (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA). The result revealed a 16.4 Mb duplication of Xq27.1-q28 and a 5.4 Mb deletion of Xp22.33-p22.32 region, shown as arr[hg19] Xq27.1-q28 (138,506,454-154896094)×2, arr[hg19] Xp22.33-p22.32 $(168,551-5,616,964) \times 1$ (Fig. 2). Copy number variation sequencing (CNV-seq) was carried out for parents. In the presence of a normal X chromosome, the mother but not the father was found to harbor similar 2.97 Mb microdeletion of the Xp22.33-p22.32 region and 16.42 Mb duplication of the Xq27.1-q28 region (Fig. 3). After comprehensive multidisciplinary counseling, the couple opted to terminate the pregnancy.

Discussion

In this report, we described an uncommon prenatal diagnosis case, which carried two abnormalities on X chromosome, including an Xp22.33-p22.32 deletion and an Xq27.1-q28 duplication. The literature currently contains only a few prenatal case reports involving such Xq duplications and Xp deletions.

Duplication of the terminal long arm segment Xq27.1gter was uncommon, and interstitial duplications encompassing the Xq27.1-Xq28 region have only been reported in a small number of patients [10]. In the case reported here, the Xq27.1-q28 duplication was detected in both the maternal and the fetal chromosome. And of note, the mother had a normal phenotype. Studies have shown that duplications located on the X chromosome were always prone to have more severe effects on males, as these imbalances could result in functional disomy of genes located within the duplicated segment. In contrast, because of the inactivation of one skewed X chromosome, female carriers were usually asymptomatic or mildly abnormal [8, 11]. To better generalize the clinical features related to Xq27.1-Xq28 duplication, the clinical data of the patients with the similar duplication as that of this case are summarized in Table 1 [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17]. The patients showed some consistent phenotypes: dysmorphic features (8/14), small testes (8/14), hands or feet abnormalities (7/14), short stature (6/14), developmental retardation (5/14), intellectual disability (5/14), undescended testis (5/14), myelomeningocele (5/14), Intrauterine Growth Retardation (IUGR) (3/14). In addition, some patients suffer from symptoms of absent speech, obesity,





Fig. 2 The result of CMA revealed a 5.40 Mb deletion (red arrow) in Xp22.33p22.32 and a 16.4 Mb duplication (blue arrow) in Xq27.1q28

megalothymus, acute sex, as well as low levels of luteinizing hormone, follicle stimulating hormone, testosterone, and GH deficiency. In our case, the fetal was found to have multiple congenital malformations, hydrocephalus, and gallbladder enlargement by ultrasound. Fu et al. [18] suggested







Fig. 3 A 2.97 Mb deletion on Xp22.33p22.32 and a 16.42 Mb duplication on Xq27.1q28 were identified in the mother (**A**) and no significant abnormality was found on the father's X chromosome (**B**)

| leference | Rio et al. [<mark>12</mark>] | | | Hickey et al. [<mark>13</mark>] | Hureaux et al. [14] | | | Zhuang et al. [15] | Wei et al. [16] | Arya et al. [17] | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|---|
| | Case 1 | Case 2 | Case 3 | Case 1 | Case 1 | Case 2 | Case 3 | Case 1 | Case 1 | Case 1 | Case 2 | Case 3 | Case 4 | Case 5 | Our case |
| ige | 35 years | 59 years | 29 years | 36 years | Fetus | Fetus | Fetus | 7 years | 5 years | NA | 2.32 years | Fetus | NA | NA | Prenata |
| ize/loca- ion | 5.1 Mb/ Xq27.3q28 | 5.1 Mb/ 3 Xq27.3q28 | 5.1 Mb/ Xq27.3q28 | Xq27.3q28 | 662 kb/ Xq27.1 | 560 kb/ Xq27.1 | 9.1 Mb/ Xq27.1q28 | 2.2 Mb/ Xq27.1q27.2 | 1.4 Mb/ Xq27.1q27.2 | 323.8 kb/ Xq27.1 | 396 kb/Xq27.1 | 481 kb/Xq27.1 | 11 Mb/Xq27.1 | 481 kb/Xq27.1 | 16.4Mb/ Xq27.1q |
| UGR | + | + | + | ₹ Z | Ч Z | ¥ Z | Ч Ч | ۲ | ΥZ | Ч Z | ۲ ۲ | ₹ Z | ۲ ۲ | ¥ Z | Hydrocet Ius; mult congenit malform tions; en gallblad |
| Develop- nental stardation | AN | ΥN | NA | + | ЧЧ | AN | AN | ٩N | NA | + | AA | + | + | + | |
| \bsent peech | | | | + | NA | AN | AN | NA | NA | NA | ΥN | ΥN | NA | Ч | |
| ntellectual lisability | + | + | + | + | NA | ΨN | AN | NA | | ΨN | ΥN | ΥZ | ΥN | + | |
| hort tature | + | + | + | + | NA | AN | AN | NA | | AN | ΥN | ΥZ | + | + | |
| lands or eet abnor- aalities | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | ΥN | 1 | NA | NA | ЧN | Ч | AN | |
|)ys- norphic eatures | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | , | NA | + | ЧN | Ч | AN | |
| Jnde- cended sstis | + | + | + | + | ЧЧ | AN | NA | | + | NA | NА | NA | NA | NA | |
| imall estes | + | + | + | + | AN | AN | AN | ı | ı | + | + | + | AN | + | |
| besity | NA | NA | NA | + | ΝA | NA | ΝA | | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | |
| Aye- omenin- ocele | | I | 1. | 1 | + | + | + | ΥN | NA | AN | + | + | ¥ Z | AN | |
| Chiari II nalforma- ion | | I | | ı | + | + | + | ٩N | NA | NA | NA | NA | AN | AN | |
| Aegalothy- nus | NA | NA | AN | NA | NA | AN | + | NA | NA | NA | ΥN | Υ | NA | Ч | |
| vmbiguous | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | AA | NA | + | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | |

| | | 64) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------|--------|---|------------------------|--------|--------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|----------|
| Reference | Rio et al. [12] | | | Hickey et al. [<mark>13</mark>] | Hureaux et al. [14] | | | Zhuang et al. [15] | Wei et al. [16] | Arya et al. [17] | | | | | |
| | Case 1 | Case 2 | Case 3 | Case 1 | Case 1 | Case 2 | Case 3 | Case 1 | Case 1 | Case 1 | Case 2 | Case 3 | Case 4 | Case 5 | Our case |
| MRI detec- tion | ΨZ | ₹ Z | ₹ Z | м М | ¥ Z | ¥Z | ¥ Z | NA | ٩ | Brain MRI showed a hypoplastic anterior pituitary and ectopic posterior pituitary tissue bright signal (T1) | MRI identified hydrocephalus and agenesis of the corpus callosum | Pituitary MRI and the initial endocrine evaluation were normal | Pituitary MRI showed a hypoplastic anterior pituitary posterior pituitary bright signal | Brain MRI showed partial agenesis of the copus callosum, an absent sep- tum pellucidum and the pres- ence of het- ercopic grey matter | |
| Endocrine investiga- tion | ۲ Z | e Z | ۲ Z | Low serum testoster- one: Jow normal FSH and LH; Jow IGF-1; com- prehensive metabolic profile and thyroid function tests were normal. | ۲ Z | ¢ | ¥ Z | Low luteinizing hor- more, follicle-stim- testosterone, seum progesterone and prolactin were normal. | Low luteinizing hormore; normal follicle stimulating hormone, and 17-or- hydroxyproges- terone. | Adrenal insufficiency and central hypothyroid- ism | GH deficiency | Normal | GH deficiency and bor- define TSH deficiency | GH deficiency | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 1 (continued)

NA Not available

these clinical features such as hydrocephalus, ventriculomegaly, agenesis of the corpus callosum, choroid plexus cysts, intrauterine growth restriction, and hydronephrosis may be common sonographic features in fetuses with MECP2 duplication syndrome (MDS). Notably, prenatal cases containing the Xq27.1-q28 duplication and Xp22.33-p22.32 deletion have rarely been reported, which limits our exploration of the correlation between the abnormal ultrasound diagnosis and the presence of X chromosome aberrations. Sun et al. [10] reported a prenatal case with 2q13 deletion and Xq27.1-q28 duplication, whose ultrasound examination only showed nasal bone dysplasia, without gallbladder enlargement and congenital multiple malformations as found in the present case. However, some studies [19-22] demonstrated that gallbladder enlargement is a high-risk indicator of aneuploid chromosomal abnormalities or biliary abnormalities. Sepulveda et al. [23] reported eight prenatal cases of gallbladder enlargement, of which four cases were also found to have other malformations. Chromosome examination was performed in these 4 cases, and an euploid chromosome abnormalities were found in 3 of them.

To explore possible diseases caused by the chromosomal aberrations in current case, we performed an analysis of the involved genes and their pathogenicity based on the Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM) database. The results revealed 16.4 Mb duplication of Xq27.1-q28 region encompassed the 137 protein-coding genes (Fig. 4), including Methyl CpG binding protein 2 (MECP2). MECP2 is located at Xq28 and is a key dose-sensitive gene. Its deletion or loss-of-function mutation could be the cause of progressive neurological disorder Rett syndrome, while the duplication or gain-of mutation of this gene can lead to MDS. MECP2 and IRAK1 genes constitute the minimal repeat region of MDS repeats, with reported repeats ranging from 0.079 to 15.8 Mb [24, 25]. Shao et al. [26] analyzed 5380 male cases and found that the duplication of Xq28 including MECP2 was the most common duplication in their cohort study. Duplications can be maternally inherited and the location and gene content are mainly maintained. Lubs et al. described a family of five affected boys with an Xq28



Fig. 4 The genes within the Xq27.1-q28 region and Xp22.33-p22.32 region were marked with different colors, depending on their intolerance to mutations. Known pathogenic genes are marked in green (according to DECIPHER v11.23 database)

duplication inherited from carrier mothers which later were confirmed to be proper cases of MDS [27, 28]. Moreover, Yi et al. addressed that the transmission of the duplication is not always stable and its size may increase or decrease when transmitted from mothers to children [29].

Of the genes contained in this duplicated region, several are of particular interest. FMR1 (OMIM 309550) encodes the Fragile X mental retardation protein, which plays an important role in the early stage of development and throughout life. Inactivation of the FMR1 gene results in fragile X mental retardation syndrome, while a duplication of FMR1 has been reported to be related with characterized such as short stature, hypogonadism and facial dysmorphism [12, 13]. SOX3 (OMIM 313430) is a single exon gene located in Xq27.1, which plays a key role in regulating embryogenesis and central nervous system development [30]. Over-expression or under-expression of SOX3 can lead to similar clinical manifestations, including isolated GH defciency, congenital hypopituitarism [31], with or without intellectual impairment [32, 33]. Arya et al. [17] reported five men diagnosed with congenital pituitary dysfunction and presented with micropenis or cryptorchidism, pituitary structural abnormalities or other cranial midline lesions, such as dysplasia of corpus callosum and absence of pellucidum, all of whom were found to have Xq27.1 duplications including SOX3. In addition, XX male sex reversal has been reported to be associated with deletion and duplication in the upstream region of SOX3 [34]. FLNA (OMIM 300049) gene is located on Xq28 and encodes filamin A protein. Deletion or duplication of the FLNA gene can affect close genes on the X chromosome, leading to a number of other signs and symptoms, such as neurological abnormalities and intellectual disability [35]. AFF2 (OMIM 300806) is located in Xq28, which is highly expressed in a region of the human brain associated with learning, cognition, and memory. Whibley et al. [36] reported on a boy with mild intellectual disability who carried 210 kb of microduplications of the AFF2 gene, and Rocha et al. [37] reported a case of partial AFF2 microduplication with auditory processing disorders, emotional disorders, and macrosomia. These two cases suggest that partial AFF2 duplication may be in association with normal IQ and behavioral problems.

In addition, a 5.4 Mb deletion was also detected in the Xp22.33-p22.32 region. This deletion covered Pseudoautosomal Region 1 (PAR1), which involves 23 proteincoding genes (Fig. 4), including *SHOX*. The *SHOX* gene, located at the very tip of the short arms of both sex chromosomes, encodes short stature homeobox protein. Loss of function of the *SHOX* gene in the pseudoautosomal region of Xp (haploidy insufficiency) may contribute to short stature and skeletal characteristics in patients [38], and was associated with Leri-Weill dyschondrosteosis, Langer mesomelic dysplasia, and X-linked idiopathic familial short stature [39]. To further explore the genotypic-phenotypic association, we summarized the clinical data of Xp22.33-p22.32 deletion similar to this case [40–44]. As shown in Table 2, Xp22.33-p22.32 deletion may lead to multiple developmental defects such as short stature (5/6), inheritance/ family history of short stature (4/6), subtly short 4th and 5th metacarpal bones (3/6) and slightly delayed bone ages (3/6). In some cases, there were other abnormal findings such as facial dysmorphism, low-set ears and short limbs.

As the technological advances of high-throughput sequencing and bioinformatics analysis, NIPS is no longer limited to screen fetal chromosome aneuploidy, but is gradually extended to the field of CNV detection. As reported in this study, NIPS technology was successfully used to predict the deletion and duplication on the X chromosome, and the results were consistent with the detection of CMA. In this case, karyotype analysis revealed that a chromosome fragment of unknown origin attached to Xp22.2 and and QF-PCR failed to detect the subchromosomal deletion and duplication. It is therefore suggested that the traditional G-banding chromosome karyotype analysis and QF-PCR have limitations in the accurate detection of chromosome deletion and duplication at the submicroscopic level [45]. Compared with conventional cytogenetic methods, CMA technology using millions of probes and provides better resolution, is a more effective means of assessing ploidy for specific chromosomes as well as the specific location of chromosomal abnormalitie by detecting the microdeletions and microduplications in chromosomes. Studies have shown that for the regions of CNVs>5 Mb; the clinical sensitivity of CMA analysis can reach 90.9% with a clinical specificity of 95%, while for the regions of CNVs < 5 Mb, the clinical sensitivity may drop to 14.3% with a clinical specificity of 100% [46]. When comparing the efficacy of NIPT, karyotype analysis and CMA in CNVs detection, CMA is still the most effective method [47].

It is worth noting that although NIPT has shown great potential in detecting fetal CNVs, there are still false positive and false negative results [48]. Its detection results will be affected by the variation size, fetal fraction, sequencing depth, and biological variability of CNVs (GC bias, repeating elements) [49]. At present, due to the lack of clinical efficacy data for detecting CNVs, non-invasive detection techniques that are widely used for prenatal detection of CNVs require more clinical validation research before they can be put into clinical practice [50]. Therefore, detailed genetic counseling should be conducted before implementing NIPS. NIPS is not recommended for routine screening for CNVs

| Reference | Chen et al. [40] | Chen et al. [41] | Cho et al. [<mark>42</mark>] | | Schwinger et al. [43] | D'Ambrosio et al. [44] | |
|---|---|------------------|---|---|-----------------------|---|---|
| | case 1 | case 2 | case 3 | case 4 | case 5 | case 6 | our case |
| Gender/age | female/prenatal | female/prenatal | female/8 years, 9 months | female/11 years, 10 months | female/10 years old | female/11 year, 9 months | fetus/prenatal |
| Size/location | 4.56 Mb/Xp22.33p22.32 | 213.9 kb/Xp22.33 | Xp22.33-Xp22.12 | Xp22.33-Xp22.12 | Xp22.33-Xp22.32 | 21.26 Mb/Xp 22.33p22.12 | 5.4 Mb/Xp22.33p22.32 |
| Height | 23.5 cm | 49.5 cm | 118.6 cm | 137.9 cm | 127.5 cm | 136.5 cm | NA |
| Short stature | + | | + | + | + | + | NA |
| Inheritance/Fam- ily history of short stature | ЧN | + | + | + | + | ı | NА |
| Dysmorphic features | + | | | | | NA | NA |
| Maternal height | 147 cm | 150 cm | 148.7 cm | 148.7 cm | 150 cm | 143.8 cm | 150 cm |
| Paternal height | 170 cm | 170 cm | 170 cm | 170 cm | NA | 165 cm | NA |
| Karyotype | 46,Y,der(X)t(X;?) (p22.31;?) | 46,XY | 46,X,del(X)(p22.1) | 46,X,del(X)(p21.3) | 46,X,del(X)(p22.3) | 46, X,del(X)p | 46, XN, add(X) (p22.2) |
| Other | Prenatal ultrasound at 20 weeks of gestation revealed a hypoplastic left heart; low-set ears; short limbs | | Relatively small hands; subtly short 4th and 5th metacarpal bones; slightly delayed bone ages | Relatively small hands; subtly short 4th and 5th metacarpal bones; slightly delayed bone ages | | Foreshortening of the 4th and border- line length of the 5th metacarpal bones with positive metacar- pal sign for TS, slightly delayed bone ages; and deformed ears with underdeveloped auricles; overlapped auricles; overlapped auricles; overlapped auricles; overlapped and malformed teeth; low posterior hairline; mildly flexible joints; pes planus; breast and genitalia exam were compatible with Tanner stade III | Prenatal ultrasound showed multiple congenital malforma- tions, hydrocephalus, and enlarged gallbladder and enlarged gallbladder |

NA Not available

with cffDNA [51] or screening for genome-wide CNVs. When pathogenic CNVs are identified by NIPS, patients should be referred to an experienced geneticist, and invasive diagnostic confirmation options should be provided [52]. It can be found that prenatal diagnosis is a field that involves multidisciplinary cooperation, such as obstetrics, imaging, genetics, statistics and research. Interdisciplinary cooperation plays a vital role in improving the accuracy of fetal malformation diagnosis.

In summary, taken together the combination of NIPS and prenatal diagnostic methods such as CMA technology effectively detect micro-duplication/micro-deletion on fetal chromosomes, providing more precise clinical diagnosis and genetic counseling for pregnant women and their families.

Conclusion

In summary, a rare prenatal case with 5.4 Mb Xp22.33p22.32 deletion and 16.4 Mb Xq27.1q28 duplication is reported here. The relationship between these chromosomal structural abnormalities and clinical phenotypes in conjunction with other cases is discussed, aiming to provide more information about pathogenic CNVs. We suggest that detection of X chromosome aberration in a male fetus should give suspicion of the possibility of maternal inheritance. Of note, the development of NIPS and CMA plays an important role in detection of chromosome deletion and duplication at the submicroscopic level. Importantly, reports and studies of prenatally diagnosed cases help couples be in an informed way what the course of the pregnancy will be, so that they can better foresee and control the future.

Abbreviations

| NIPS | Noninvasive prenatal screening |
|---------|-----------------------------------|
| CNV | Chromosomal copy number variation |
| cffDNA | Cell-free fetal DNA |
| TS | Turner syndrome |
| CNV-seq | Copy number variation sequencing |
| MDS | MECP2 Duplication syndrome |
| MECP2 | Methyl CpG binding protein 2 |
| PAR1 | Pseudoautosomal Region 1 |
| | |

Acknowledgements

Not applicable

Authors' contributions

QL performed the experiments and wrote the manuscript. BLD, LJL and HL collected and analysed the clinical data. XLM, SL and WYX revised the manuscript and conducted experiments. CZW, CYL and MZ designed the study and reviewed the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Zhanjiang Maternity and Child Health Care Hospital (approval number:2023002).

Consent for publication

Written informed consent was obtained from the parents of the patient for publication of this case report. They consented for the publication of their medical data and images.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 31 October 2023 Accepted: 6 February 2024 Published online: 21 February 2024

References

- 1. Dugoff L, Norton ME, Kuller JA. The use of chromosomal microarray for prenatal diagnosis. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2016;215(4):B2-9.
- Kempers MJ, Wessels M, Van Berendoncks A, van de Laar IM, de Leeuw N, Loeys B. Phenotype of COL3A1/COL5A2 deletion patients. Eur J Med Genet. 2022;65(10):104593.
- Lachlan KL, Youings S, Costa T, Jacobs PA, Thomas NS. A clinical and molecular study of 26 females with Xp deletions with special emphasis on inherited deletions. Hum Genet. 2006;118(5):640–51.
- Binder G, Fritsch H, Schweizer R, Ranke MB. Radiological signs of Leri-Weill dyschondrosteosis in Turner syndrome. Horm Res. 2001;55(2):71–6.
- Sanlaville D, Schluth-Bolard C, Turleau C. Distal Xq duplication and functional Xq disomy. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2009;4:4.
- Lachlan KL, Collinson MN, Sandford RO, van Zyl B, Jacobs PA, Thomas NS. Functional disomy resulting from duplications of distal Xq in four unrelated patients. Hum Genet. 2004;115(5):399–408.
- Novelli A, Bernardini L, Salpietro DC, Briuglia S, Merlino MV, Mingarelli R, et al. Disomy of distal Xq in males: case report and overview. Am J Med Genet A. 2004;128a(2):165–9.
- Sanlaville D, Prieur M, de Blois MC, Genevieve D, Lapierre JM, Ozilou C, et al. Functional disomy of the Xq28 chromosome region. Eur J Hum Genet. 2005;13(5):579–85.
- Vasquez AI, Rivera H, Bobadilla L, Crolla JA. A familial Xp+ chromosome, dup (Xq26.3-->qter). J Med Genet. 1995;32(11):891–3.
- Sun ML, Yue FG, Zhang XY, Jiang YT, Li LL, Zhang HG, et al. Molecular cytogenetic characterization of 2q deletion and Xq duplication associated with nasal bone dysplasia in prenatal diagnosis: A case report and literature review. Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol. 2022;61(1):163–9.
- Maurin ML, Arfeuille C, Sonigo P, Rondeau S, Vekemans M, Turleau C, et al. Large Duplications Can Be Benign Copy Number Variants: A Case of a 3.6-Mb Xq21.33 Duplication. Cytogenet Genome Res. 2017;151(3):115–8.
- Rio M, Malan V, Boissel S, Toutain A, Royer G, Gobin S, et al. Familial interstitial Xq27.3q28 duplication encompassing the FMR1 gene but not the MECP2 gene causes a new syndromic mental retardation condition. Eur J Hum Genet. 2010;18(3):285–90.
- Hickey SE, Walters-Sen L, Mosher TM, Pfau RB, Pyatt R, Snyder PJ, et al. Duplication of the Xq27.3-q28 region, including the FMR1 gene, in an X-linked hypogonadism, gynecomastia, intellectual disability, short stature, and obesity syndrome. Am J Med Genet A. 2013;161a(9):2294–9.
- Hureaux M, Ben Miled S, Chatron N, Coussement A, Bessières B, Egloff M, et al. SOX3 duplication: A genetic cause to investigate in fetuses with neural tube defects. Prenat Diagn. 2019;39(11):1026–34.
- Zhuang J, Chen C, Li J, Jiang Y, Wang J, Wang Y, et al. The 46, XX Ovotesticular Disorder of Sex Development With Xq27.1q27.2 Duplication Involving the SOX3 Gene: A Rare Case Report and Literature Review. Front Pediatr. 2021;9:682846.
- Wei J, Liu C, Zhang M, Liu S, Fu J, Lin P. Duplication of SOX3 in an SRYnegative 46, XX male with prostatic utricle: case report and literature review. BMC Med Genomics. 2022;15(1):188.

- Arya VB, Chawla G, Nambisan AKR, Muhi-Iddin N, Vamvakiti E, Ajzensztejn M, et al. Xq27.1 Duplication Encompassing SOX3: Variable Phenotype and Smallest Duplication Associated with Hypopituitarism to Date - A Large Case Series of Unrelated Patients and a Literature Review. Horm Res Paediatr. 2019;92(6):382–9.
- Fu F, Liu HL, Li R, Han J, Yang X, Min P, et al. Prenatal diagnosis of foetuses with congenital abnormalities and duplication of the MECP2 region. Gene. 2014;546(2):222–5.
- 19. Petrikovsky B, Klein V, Holsten N. Sludge in fetal gallbladder: natural history and neonatal outcome. Br J Radiol. 1996;69(827):1017–8.
- 20. Petrikovsky B, Klein VR. Cholecystomegaly and fetal gallstones. Prenat Diagn. 1995;15(9):875.
- Gug C, Burada F, Ioana M, Riza AL, Moldovan M, Mozos I, et al. Polyploidy in First and Second Trimester Pregnancies in Romania - a Retrospective Study. Clin Lab. 2020;66(4).
- Goldstein I, Tamir A, Weisman A, Jakobi P, Copel JA. Growth of the fetal gall bladder in normal pregnancies. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol. 1994;4(4):289–93.
- Sepulveda W, Nicolaidis P, Hollingsworth J, Fisk NM. Fetal cholecystomegaly: a prenatal marker of aneuploidy. Prenat Diagn. 1995;15(2):193–7.
- Sanmann JN, Bishay DL, Starr LJ, Bell CA, Pickering DL, Stevens JM, et al. Characterization of six novel patients with MECP2 duplications due to unbalanced rearrangements of the X chromosome. Am J Med Genet A. 2012;158a(6):1285–91.
- Shimada S, Okamoto N, Hirasawa K, Yoshii K, Tani Y, Sugawara M, et al. Clinical manifestations of Xq28 functional disomy involving MECP2 in one female and two male patients. Am J Med Genet A. 2013;161a(7):1779–85.
- Shao L, Shaw CA, Lu XY, Sahoo T, Bacino CA, Lalani SR, et al. Identification of chromosome abnormalities in subtelomeric regions by microarray analysis: a study of 5,380 cases. Am J Med Genet A. 2008;146a(17):2242–51.
- Lubs H, Abidi F, Bier JA, Abuelo D, Ouzts L, Voeller K, et al. XLMR syndrome characterized by multiple respiratory infections, hypertelorism, severe CNS deterioration and early death localizes to distal Xq28. Am J Med Genet. 1999;85(3):243–8.
- Friez MJ, Jones JR, Clarkson K, Lubs H, Abuelo D, Bier JA, et al. Recurrent infections, hypotonia, and mental retardation caused by duplication of MECP2 and adjacent region in Xq28. Pediatrics. 2006;118(6):e1687–95.
- Yi Z, Pan H, Li L, Wu H, Wang S, Ma Y, et al. Chromosome Xq28 duplication encompassing MECP2: Clinical and molecular analysis of 16 new patients from 10 families in China. Eur J Med Genet. 2016;59(6–7):347–53.
- Stagi S, Lapi E, Pantaleo M, Traficante G, Giglio S, Seminara S, et al. A SOX3 (Xq26.3–27.3) duplication in a boy with growth hormone deficiency, ocular dyspraxia, and intellectual disability: a long-term follow-up and literature review. Hormones (Athens). 2014;13(4):552–60.
- Du C, Wang F, Li Z, Zhang M, Yu X, Liang Y, et al. Xq26.3-q27.1 duplication including SOX3 gene in a Chinese boy with hypopituitarism: case report and two years treatment follow up. BMC Med Genomics. 2022;15(1):19.
- Alatzoglou KS, Azriyanti A, Rogers N, Ryan F, Curry N, Noakes C, et al. SOX3 deletion in mouse and human is associated with persistence of the craniopharyngeal canal. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2014;99(12):E2702–8.
- Laumonnier F, Ronce N, Hamel BC, Thomas P, Lespinasse J, Raynaud M, et al. Transcription factor SOX3 is involved in X-linked mental retardation with growth hormone deficiency. Am J Hum Genet. 2002;71(6):1450–5.
- Sutton E, Hughes J, White S, Sekido R, Tan J, Arboleda V, et al. Identification of SOX3 as an XX male sex reversal gene in mice and humans. J Clin Investig. 2011;121(1):328–41.
- Robertson SP, Twigg SR, Sutherland-Smith AJ, Biancalana V, Gorlin RJ, Horn D, et al. Localized mutations in the gene encoding the cytoskeletal protein filamin A cause diverse malformations in humans. Nat Genet. 2003;33(4):487–91.
- Whibley AC, Plagnol V, Tarpey PS, Abidi F, Fullston T, Choma MK, et al. Fine-scale survey of X chromosome copy number variants and indels underlying intellectual disability. Am J Hum Genet. 2010;87(2):173–88.
- da Rocha NB, dos Santos PA, Safatle HP, de Melo RM, Pereira RW, de Oliveira SF, et al. Partial AFF2 microduplication in a patient with auditory processing disorder, emotional impairment and macrosomia. Am J Med Genet A. 2014;164a(12):3206–8.
- Clement-Jones M, Schiller S, Rao E, Blaschke RJ, Zuniga A, Zeller R, et al. The short stature homeobox gene SHOX is involved in skeletal abnormalities in Turner syndrome. Hum Mol Genet. 2000;9(5):695–702.

- Binder G, Rappold GA. SHOX Deficiency Disorders. In: Adam MP, Mirzaa GM, Pagon RA, Wallace SE, Bean LJH, Gripp KW, et al., editors. GeneR-eviews([®]). Seattle (WA): University of Washington, Seattle, Copyright © 1993–2023, University of Washington, Seattle. GeneReviews is a registered trademark of the University of Washington, Seattle. All rights reserved.; 1993.
- 40. Chen CP, Chen CY, Chern SR, Wu PS, Chen YN, Chen SW, et al. Molecular cytogenetic characterization of Xp22.32→pter deletion and Xq26.3→qter duplication in a male fetus associated with 46,Y,rec(X) dup(Xq) inv(X)(p22.3q26.3), a hypoplastic left heart, short stature, and maternal X chromosome pericentric inversion. Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol. 2016;55(5):705–11.
- Chen CP, Ko TM, Wang LK, Lin SP, Chern SR, Wu PS, et al. Molecular cytogenetic characterization and prenatal diagnosis of familial Xp22.33 microdeletion encompassing short stature homeobox gene in a male fetus with a favorable outcome. Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol. 2017;56(2):264–7.
- Cho SY, Ki CS, Jang JH, Sohn YB, Park SW, Kim SH, et al. Familial Xp22.33-Xp22.12 deletion delineated by chromosomal microarray analysis causes proportionate short stature. Am J Med Genet A. 2012;158a(6):1462–6.
- Schwinger E, Kirschstein M, Greiwe M, Konermann T, Orth U, Gal A. Short stature in a mother and daughter with terminal deletion of Xp22.3. Am J Med Genet. 1996;63(1):239–42.
- 44. D'Ambrosio F, Chan JT, Aslam H, Aguirre Castaneda R, De Simone L, Shad Z. Turner syndrome due to Xp22.33 deletion with preserved gonadal function: case report. Oxf Med Case Reports. 2019;2019(5):omz028.
- 45. Qian YQ, Fu XY, Wang XQ, Luo YQ, Chen M, Yan K, et al. A feasible diagnostic approach for the translocation carrier from the indication of products of conception. Mol Cytogenet. 2018;11:12.
- Li R, Wan J, Zhang Y, Fu F, Ou Y, Jing X, et al. Detection of fetal copy number variants by non-invasive prenatal testing for common aneuploidies. Ultrasound Obst Gynecol. 2016;47(1):53–7.
- Pei Y, Hu L, Liu J, Wen L, Luo X, Lu J, et al. Efficiency of noninvasive prenatal testing for the detection of fetal microdeletions and microduplications in autosomal chromosomes. Mol Genet Genomic Med. 2020;8(8):e1339.
- Martin K, Iyengar S, Kalyan A, Lan C, Simon AL, Stosic M, et al. Clinical experience with a single-nucleotide polymorphism-based non-invasive prenatal test for five clinically significant microdeletions. Clin Genet. 2018;93(2):293–300.
- Lo KK, Karampetsou E, Boustred C, McKay F, Mason S, Hill M, et al. Limited Clinical Utility of Non-invasive Prenatal Testing for Subchromosomal Abnormalities. Am J Hum Genet. 2016;98(1):34–44.
- Peng XL, Jiang P. Bioinformatics Approaches for Fetal DNA Fraction Estimation in Noninvasive Prenatal Testing. International journal of molecular sciences. 2017;18(2).
- Dondorp W, de Wert G, Bombard Y, Bianchi DW, Bergmann C, Borry P, et al. Non-invasive prenatal testing for aneuploidy and beyond: challenges of responsible innovation in prenatal screening. Eur J Human Genet. 2015;23(11):1592.
- 52. Gug C, Mozos I, Ratiu A, Tudor A, Gorduza EV, Caba L, et al. Genetic Counseling and Management: The First Study to Report NIPT Findings in a Romanian Population. Medicina (Kaunas, Lithuania). 2022;58(1).

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.